

STATE LAND USE PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES

May 28, 2020

Virtual Meeting

This meeting was held virtually pursuant to NRS241.023 and State of Nevada Declaration of
Emergency Directive 006.

Members Present

Jake Tibbitts, Eureka County (Chair)
Lorinda Wichman, Nye County (Vice Chair)
Delmo Andreozzi, Elko County
James Barbee, Churchill County
Kathy Canfield, Storey County
Roger Mancebo, Pershing County
Lee Plemel, Carson City
Colby Prout, NACO
Sami Real, Clark County

Members Absent

Don Alt, Lyon County
Bill Calderwood, White Pine County
Art Clark, Lander County
Jim French, Humboldt County
Jeanne Herman, Washoe County
Nancy McDermid, Douglas County
Kevin Phillips, Lincoln County
Garth Price, Mineral County
De Winsor, Esmeralda County

Others Present

Jordan Hosmer-Henner, Office of Governor Steve Sisolak
Tori Sundheim, Office of Attorney General
Ian Carr, Office of Attorney General
Zachary Ormsby, Nevada Conservation Districts Program
Charlie Donohue, Nevada Division of State Lands
Ellery Stahler, Nevada Division of State Lands
Scott Carey, Nevada Division of State Lands

1) CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order by **Jake Tibbitts** at 9:03 am. A roll call was held for members of Council and a quorum was established. Introductions were made by others who were present.

2) PUBLIC COMMENTS

Jake Tibbitts called for public comment. Scott Carey stated that the meeting notice included instructions for how to submit a public comment before the meeting and as of the deadline of May 27, 2020 at 5:00 PM staff did not receive any public comments. **Jake Tibbitts** called for any additional public comment. There was no public comment.

3) REVIEW OF THE AGENDA

Lee Plemel made a motion to approve the agenda as submitted, the motion was seconded by **Lorinda Wichman**. There was no discussion on the motion. **Jake Tibbitts** called for a roll call vote on the motion.

Nevada Division of State Lands
State Land Use Planning Agency
901 S. Stewart Street, Suite 5003
Carson City, NV 89701

Telephone: (775) 684-2723
www.lands.nv.gov/land-use-planning

AYES: Jake Tibbitts, Lorinda Wichman, Delmo Andreozzi, James Barbee, Kathy Canfield, Roger Mancebo, Lee Plemel, Sami Real.

NAYS: None

4) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Jake Tibbitts pointed out several errors within the January 17, 2020 SLUPAC meeting minutes. Jake stated that the spelling of Tori Sundheim was incorrect in several places with the “d” missing in Sundheim.

Delmo Andreozzi made a motion to approve the January 17, 2020 SLUPAC meeting minutes with the corrections as outlined by Jake Tibbitts. The motion was seconded by **Roger Mancebo**.

There was no discussion on the motion. **Jake Tibbitts** called for a roll call vote on the motion.

AYES: Jake Tibbitts, Lorinda Wichman, Delmo Andreozzi, James Barbee, Kathy Canfield, Roger Mancebo, Lee Plemel, Sami Real.

NAYS: None

5) REVIEW OF SLUPAC POWERS & DUTIES

Scott Carey with the Division of State Lands provided the Council with a **PowerPoint presentation** that provided an overview of the legislative history and intent of SLUPAC. Scott stated that in the late 1960's states created planning agencies as a way to better align state budgets with program & agency objectives. He stated that in 1973, the Nevada Legislature approved AB 233, the Land Use Planning Act which created the State Land Use Planning Agency and SLUPAC. He further stated that the main objectives of the law were to; better coordinate state and local government land use planning efforts, coordinate and analyze Federal land management decisions & withdrawals, and protect areas of critical environmental concern.

Scott provided the Council with an overview of the original composition of SLUPAC as outlined in the Land Use Planning Act of 1973. He stated that originally the act outlined that the Governor would appoint to SLUPAC one member from each county and that members must represent urban and rural areas of state. He further stated that original law stated that SLUPAC may include members from each county who are representatives from the county, an incorporated city, or a regional planning commission. He stated that in 1975 the State Land Use Planning Agency was established as part of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources and was absorbed into the Division of State Lands.

Scott stated that in 1977 there was a major reform by the Legislature to the State Land Use Planning Act of 1973 through the approval of SB 212. He stated that this bill added in many of the powers and duties that SLUPAC has today including local government planning support functions, designating state areas of critical environmental concern, and resolving local government land use inconsistencies. He stated that when the bill was heard in committee, Senator Joe Neal from Clark County suggested adding in the power for SLUPAC to resolve local government land use inconsistencies. According to testimony from the hearings, citing drainage and land use issues associated with the Buffalo Ditch in the City of North Las Vegas caused by development in surrounding local jurisdictions Senator Neal wanted SLUPAC to have the authority to resolve these types of issues.

Scott stated that SB 212 in 1977 narrowed down and further defined state areas of critical environmental concern. He stated that the reason for this change was due to efforts between 1973 and 1977 to designate Walker Lake as a state area of critical environmental concern. The effort to designate Walker Lake as a state area of critical environmental concern was to protect the lake from surface water over appropriation. Scott stated that during the 1977 session there was an agreement struck between the sponsor of SB 2121 Senator Carl Dodge and Assemblyman Joe Dini. The agreement between Senator Dodge and Assemblyman Dini was to better define what a state area of critical environmental concern is and SLUPAC's role in the process. As a result of the agreement, SLUPAC's role in the process was narrowed to assist local governments with identifying, planning, and recommending the designation of state areas of critical environmental concern. Scott

stated that intent of the SB 212 was that state areas of critical environmental concern would have the consent of the nearby local government and that these areas would be locally managed.

Delmo Andreozzi stated that he recalls within Elko County and near the City of Elko that there weren't any zoning and land use ordinances in place until the 1970's. Delmo stated that this appears to be around the same timeline as when the SLUPAC statute was approved. He further stated that there seems to be a connection between the timing of NRS 278 (Zoning and Subdivision regulations) and the need to resolve local government land use inconsistencies.

Scott Carey, with the Division of State Lands stated that he agrees and that the timing of NRS 278 and the creation of SLUPAC are likely tied together. He stated that the legislature's intent of authorizing local governments to regulate their growth through the implementation of subdivision and zoning ordinances was to implement a master plan and better plan land uses throughout the state.

Jake Tibbitts thanked Scott for putting this presentation together and for sharing this research with the Council. He stated that he felt this information would be helpful for the Council's discussion later on the agenda about changing the SLUPAC statute during the next legislative session.

6) ELECTION OF FOUR MEMBERS TO SERVE ON THE SLUPAC EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands provided an overview of the [staff memo](#) for this item that was included as page 25 of the meeting packet. Scott stated that the last appointed members of the SLUPAC Executive Council were Sami Real (Clark County), Jim French (Humboldt County), Laurie Carson (White Pine) and Austin Osborne (Storey County). He stated that that the terms of all previously appointed members to the Executive Council have expired and the Council needs to appoint 4 new members. He stated that in accordance with NRS 321.755 (2), the four new members appointed should be representative of the various areas of the state. He stated that prior to the meeting, he did reach out to **Jim French** and **Sami Real** to gauge their interest in serving on the Executive Council again. Jim and Sami both indicated to him that they both would be willing to serve on the Executive Council if appointed by the Council. He reminded the Council that in terms of time commitment, the Executive Council only meets when requested.

Jake Tibbitts stated that he felt that it was important that the Executive Council have representation from Clark County and that he would support appointing **Sami Real** to the Executive Council. He stated that he felt that **Jim French** would be a good appointment to the Executive Council and that Jim would be a good representative of northwestern Nevada. He asked if **Lorinda Wichman** would be willing to serve on the Executive Council.

Lorinda Wichman stated that she would be willing to serve on the Executive Council.

Jake Tibbitts stated that he felt that **Lee Plemel** would be a good appointment to the Executive Council and could represent the urban areas of Western Nevada well. He asked if **Lee Plemel** would be willing to serve on the Executive Council.

Lee Plemel stated that he would be willing to serve on the Executive Council.

Roger Mancebo made a motion to appoint **Jim French, Lorinda Wichman, Sami Real, and Lee Plemel** to term two-year terms on the SLUPAC Executive Council. The motion was seconded by **Delmo Andreozzi**.

There was no discussion on the motion. **Jake Tibbitts** called for a roll call vote on the motion.

AYES: Jake Tibbitts, Lorinda Wichman, Delmo Andreozzi, James Barbee, Kathy Canfield, Roger Mancebo, Lee Plemel, Sami Real.

NAYS: None

7) REVIEW AND POSSIBLE REVISIONS TO SLUPAC BYLAWS

Nevada Division of State Lands
State Land Use Planning Agency
901 S. Stewart Street, Suite 5003
Carson City, NV 89701

Telephone: (775) 684-2723
www.lands.nv.gov/land-use-planning

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands provided an overview of the changes to the SLUPAC bylaws made at the January 17, 2020 meeting that was included as pages 26-29 of the meeting packet. Scott stated that the intent of this item was to confirm with the Council the changes that were made at the last meeting and to see if there were any other changes to the bylaws that the Council would like to make.

Jake Tibbitts brought up a concern about Section 2.5 of the Bylaws which require the Council to follow Roberts Rules of Order. Jake stated that the Council does not follow Roberts Rules of Order perfectly and that there are very few governing bodies in the state that do. He stated that one change that he would like to be made to this section of the bylaws is to add that the Council will follow the latest version of Roberts Rules of Order.

Lorinda Wichman stated that she understands why the Council would want to follow Roberts Rules of Order because it helps with establishing the proper decorum for the meeting. Lorinda stated that the Council has never had a problem with losing control of a meeting with the public or with the members of the Council. She stated that following Roberts Rules of Order can be a good guide to running a meeting but if you have to follow them perfectly it could prove problematic. She further stated that her preference would be to remove the requirement to follow Roberts Rules of Order as outlined in Section 2.5 of the bylaws and to stick the requirements for running a public meeting as outlined in Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS).

Tori Sundheim with the Office of Attorney General stated that following Roberts Rules of Order can be a good guideline for the Council to run its meetings. Tori stated that if the bylaws require the Council to follow Roberts Rules of Order perfectly, then that requirement could be more burdensome to the Council than helpful.

Jake Tibbitts stated that the chair of the meeting does govern the control of the meeting and that as long as the requirements of the open meeting law are followed it doesn't matter that much how the meeting is being run. Jake stated that his preference would be to remove section 2.5 of the bylaws.

Lorinda Wichman made a motion to remove section 2.5 of the SLUPAC bylaws. The motion was seconded by **Roger Mancebo**.

There was no discussion on the motion. **Jake Tibbitts** called for a roll call vote on the motion.

AYES: Jake Tibbitts, Lorinda Wichman, Delmo Andreozzi, James Barbee, Kathy Canfield, Roger Mancebo, Lee Plemel, Sami Real.

NAYS: None

At 9:50 AM **Jake Tibbitts** called for a 5-minute recess for the meeting.

At 9:55 AM **Jake Tibbitts** called the meeting back to order.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands asked for the Council for clarification on whether the changes to bylaws made at January 17, 2020 meeting were correct and made to the satisfaction of the Council. Scott stated that it would be helpful for staff if the Council could take action to reaffirm the changes to the bylaws made at the January 17, 2020 meeting.

Lorinda Wichman made a motion to accept the bylaws as amended from January 17, 2020 SLUPAC meeting. The motion was seconded by **Sami Real**.

There was no discussion on the motion. **Jake Tibbitts** called for a roll call vote on the motion.

AYES: Jake Tibbitts, Lorinda Wichman, Delmo Andreozzi, James Barbee, Kathy Canfield, Roger Mancebo, Lee Plemel, Sami Real.

NAYS: None

8) DISCUSSION AND RECOMENDATION ON POTENTIAL SLUPAC LEGISLATIVE CHANGES

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands provided an overview of the **conceptual changes to the SLUPAC statute** that was included as pages 30-31 in the meeting packet. Scott stated that this item was a follow up to the January 17, 2020 meeting where the staff committed to presenting conceptual changes to the SLUPAC statute NRS 321.740. He stated that the staff wanted to present these concepts to the Council for discussion and was seeking direction from the Council on how to proceed with the proposes changes to the statute.

Scott provided the Council with an overview of four conceptual changes to the SLUPAC statute. The first conceptual change was to add two non-voting members to the Council representing the Nevada League of Cities and the Nevada Indian Commission. The second conceptual change was to have a member's term on SLUPAC coincide with their term in office if they are an elected official. The third conceptual change was to add the ability for counties to appoint an alternate member to the Council to attend and vote in meetings when the appointed member is unable to attend. The fourth conceptual change was to clarify and make explicit the duties of SLUPAC (through its Executive Council) to serve as mediator, provide technical assistance, resolve land use inconsistencies between local governments, and recommend to the Governor land use planning policies for Areas Critical of Environmental Concern.

Lorinda Wichman asked for additional information concerning the conceptual change regarding a Council member's term coinciding with their term as an elected official. Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that under Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) the Governor will appoint a member to the Council for a 3 year term and that the term doesn't always match up with the term of office if that member of the Council is an elected official. He stated that the intent of this change is to make sure that the term on the Council coincides with their term in office.

Lorinda Wichman stated that in December she will be termed out as County Commissioner and that her term on SLUPAC and the Executive Council will go past December. **Jake Tibbitts** stated that intent of this change would be to still allow a county to reappoint or allow for a termed out elected official to continue serving on the Council. He further stated that the proposed change would also allow a county to appoint a new member to the Council if the elected official is termed out or no longer in office.

Jake Tibbitts provided a summary of the first conceptual change which would add two non-voting members to the Council representing the Nevada League of Cities and the Nevada Indian Commission. Jake stated that he felt the addition of these two entities to the Council would be helpful for land use planning coordination and would be similar to the recent addition of the Nevada Association of Counties a non-voting member on the Council. He called for any comments or questions regarding this conceptual change from the Council. There were no comments or questions from the Council.

Jake Tibbitts provided a summary of the second conceptual change related to the term on SLUPAC and an elected official's term in office. He called for any comments or questions regarding this conceptual change from the Council.

Delmo Andreozzi stated that he felt that this conceptual change should be tweaked to allow each county to appoint an elected official or staff member as its representative on the Council. Delmo stated that the way this change is presented it makes it seem like a county must appoint an elected official as its representative on the Council. He stated that his preference would be to allow each county to have the flexibility to appoint whomever they want, whether it's a staff member or elected official.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that he understands the points that have been brought up from the Council concerning the second conceptual change. Scott stated that the staff is receiving clear direction from the Council that this bill draft request should be written to allow each county the flexibility to appoint their representative to the Council as they see fit, whether that be an elected official or staff member.

Jake Tibbitts provided a summary of the third conceptual change which would allow a county to appoint an alternate member to the Council. Jake stated that he supports allowing counties to send an alternate member to represent it on the Council. He also stated that he believes that allowing alternate members may result in some loss of authority because all members of the Council are appointed by the Governor. He stated that in his experience on other boards that allow alternates, that sometimes the alternate members are the only ones who participate in the meetings. He called for any comments or questions regarding this conceptual change from the Council.

Lorinda Wichman stated that she also feels that alternates are important and questioned whether it would be worth it to have all alternate members go through the formal appointment process with the Governor's Office. Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that having alternative members go through the formal appointment process with the Governor's Office could create additional work for staff and the Governor's Office and possible delays in the appointment process. He stated that NRS 321.740 (3)(b) does allow for a county's nomination to become effective if the Governor does not act upon the county's nomination within 30 days.

Lorinda Wichman stated that she feels a county's representative on the Council becomes more important in they are appointed by the Governor through the formal appointment process. Lorinda added that a formal appointment from the Governor gives the work of the Council more weight and importance. She further stated that the Council also can conduct business with majority of those present and that allowing an alternate member may not be as important.

Jake Tibbitts stated that he agreed and that allowing alternate members on the Council could create some consistency issues in terms of representation on the Council. Jake stated that he is leaning towards not pursuing a change the Council's statute to allow for alternate members.

Sami Real stated that she agrees and that the Council only meets once a quarter and that it's important that all appointed members to participate. Sami expressed concerns about having alternate members serve on the Council who then would become de facto regular members and abusing the alternate allowance.

Delmo Andreozzi stated that his intent with allowing counties to have alternate members was so that each county could have representation on the Council when the regular member is unable to attend the meeting. He stated that alternate members who do attend meetings should not be voting members. He stated that he felt alternate members could be there to share technical expertise and information during the meetings.

Jake Tibbitts stated that Delmo shared a good point with the purpose of alternate members and suggested that this could be something that the Council could revisit in the bylaws at a later date. Jake stated that he saw value in allowing a county to have a non-voting alternate member attend and participate in the meeting for the purposes of sharing information with the Council about their respective county. He stated that he felt that this type of alternate member could be allowed today and isn't something that needs to be addressed in the statute but rather in the bylaws.

Lorinda Wichman stated that as a public body, the Council does allow anyone from the public to attend its meeting and speak during public comment as required in the open meeting law.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that staff understands the direction from the Council and they will not pursue the conceptual change to allow for alternate members. Scott stated that staff understands the intent of allowing non-voting alternate members for the purposes of information sharing. He further stated that staff will work on an amendment to the Council's bylaws to allow for this type of non-voting alternate member for consideration at a future meeting.

Sami Real stated Clark County has transitioned its public meetings to a virtual format because of the pandemic and they have found this format has been beneficial to allow for additional public input. Sami asked if the Council will be having more of its meetings be available virtual in the future. She stated that with a virtual format or the availability of teleconference, that more members of the Council could be able to participate in meetings.

Jake Tibbitts stated that he agreed with Sami's thoughts on virtual meetings and suggested that the Council have more of its meetings be available virtually or through teleconference to allow for greater participation.

Charlie Donohue with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated he felt that virtual or teleconference availability would be beneficial to the Council and public. Charlie stated that the staff will look into having more virtual or teleconference availability for future Council meetings. He stated that due to the locations of some Council meetings, technology wise it may not always be possible to have virtual or teleconference availability.

Jake Tibbitts provided a summary of the fourth conceptual change to clarify and make explicit the duties of the Council. Jake stated that he feels strongly that the Council needs to clarify what it is already doing with respect to passing resolutions and establishing land use policy for the state. He stated that the Council recently had passed resolutions and sent letters establishing a position and recommending land use policy changes for the Nevada Test and Training Range and Fallon Range Training Complex land expansions. He stated that the statute allows for the Council to only advise the Administrator of the Division of State Lands on policy and that it is not explicitly allowed to take its own position or issue land use policy recommendations. He stated that the statute should be changed to allow the Council to make its own statements of policy and issue land use recommendations consistent with the Administrator of the Division of State Lands.

Delmo Andreozzi stated that the Council should take Jake's recommendations a step further and make it explicit within the statute that the Council will have the ability to weigh in on Federal land use issues. Delmo stated that the Council has taken on issues related to RS 2477 roads in the past and that he felt it would be good to have the Council weigh in on wildfire, targeting grazing, and other Federal land management issues.

Jake Tibbitts stated that the Council will prepare general policy statements on Federal land management and land use planning issues under NRS 321.7355 along with the State Land Use Planning Agency (SLUPA). Jake stated that under NRS 321.7355 (4) the agency does have the authority to provide comments and issue statements of policy regarding Federal land issues. He further stated that when the agency does provide comments and issue statements of policy, the agency is supposed to seek the recommendation of the Council. He stated that the way the statute is now, it seems cumbersome for the Council have to go through the Administrator of the Division of State Lands in order to issue statements of policy.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that staff understands the direction from the Council on this conceptual change. Scott stated that staff will take a look at the language in NRS 321.7355 and draft changes to the statute for the Council to consider at the next meeting.

Tori Sundheim with the Office of Attorney General stated that she is available to assist the Council and staff with drafting potential changes to the SLUPAC statute. Tori stated that in the past it has been very beneficial to have the Council vote to pass resolutions and provide its recommendations on land use planning policy and land management projects.

Jake Tibbitts suggested that the Council take a look at changing the duties of the Council as outlined in NRS 321.750 to add in the authority for the Council to provide its own statements of policy and issue land use planning policy recommendations. Jake suggested that another subsection be added to NRS 321.750 to allow the Council in concurrence with the State Land Use Planning Agency to provide advice, recommendations, and statements of policy regarding any Federal, State, or Local government land use planning policy or land management activity.

9) COUNTY PLANNING UPDATES

Jake Tibbitts, Eureka County:

Jake stated that the biggest land use issue in the county right now is the ongoing fight over the management of water resources in the Diamond Valley. He stated that recently the county has seen a couple of large-scale solar projects show interest in the county. He stated that one such project seeks to connect into the existing Falcon to Gondor transmission line located in the south end of the Diamond Valley. He stated that this transmission line does have additional capacity and the developer is working with property owners in the area

on a project that could spur land use changes. He stated that with the recent downturn in the economy gold prices are at near all-time highs which is spurring additional mining exploration activities within the county.

Lee Plemel, Carson City:

Lee stated that he recently participated in a webinar with Dr. Fred Steinmann of the University of Nevada concerning the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. He stated that overall, the outlook is pretty grim with respect to retail sales and gaming revenues. He stated that this projected loss of revenue will likely impact all the counties and that future planning projects over the next year or longer may be delayed.

James Barbee, Churchill County:

James stated that last week the county's lands bill was released in Congress by Congressman Amodei. He stated that the lands bill attaches to other counties and is includes some of the mitigation proposals that Navy made with the proposed expansion of the Fallon Range Training Complex

Lorinda Wichman, Nye County:

Lorinda stated that the County has been reviewing a proposed solar project that is in Clark County but located near the border with Nye County. She stated that the County has concerns with the use of water associated with the proposed solar project.

Sami Real, Clark County:

Sami stated that she did not have update for Clark County. She offered to talk with Lorinda Wichman about the proposed solar project near Nye County.

Roger Mancebo, Pershing County:

Roger stated that Pershing County continues to review and wait to see whether the Fallon Range Training Complex will take more land from the county. He stated that the Pershing County lands bill is in some turmoil once again and that the county is waiting to see how the bill progresses in Congress.

Kathy Canfield, Storey County:

Kathy stated Storey County continues to work on its RS 2477 road inventory. She stated that county has hired Farr West Engineering to develop a water resources plan for the county. She stated that the county expects to complete the water resources plan by October and that the RS 2477 road inventory should be completed by mid-summer.

Colby Prout, Nevada Association of Counties:

Colby stated that NACO is working to support all of the counties and is sharing additional information to assist with their response to the COVID-19 pandemic. He stated that NACO is also closely monitoring the actions of the Federal Government during this time to assist the counties with keeping up on the latest information.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands provided an update on the roster for the Council and how they continue to work with the Governor's Office on the backlog of formal appointments. He asked those members of the Council whose terms are expiring at the end of the year to begin the process with their County Commission's to seek reappointment or new appointees. He stated that the State Land Use Planning Agency is currently tracking 11 Federal lands bills and a [list of the land bills](#) was provided on page 40 of the meeting packet. He also stated that the agency has completed with the Legislative Counsel Bureau the printing of the latest edition of the Laws Related to Planning publication.

10) FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Jake Tibbitts stated that it would be good for the Council to consider specific BDR language for changing the SLUPAC statute. Jake also stated that it would be good to have a future agenda item about the Fallon Range Training Complex land withdrawal project.

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that the staff had received a request from Lee Bonner with NDOT to provide a formal presentation at the next meeting about the State Rail Plan. Scott also stated that the staff has received another request from Sean Gephart with the Nevada Department of Agriculture to provide the Council with a presentation on noxious weeds.

11) SCHEDULING OF FUTURE SLUPAC MEETING DATES AND LOCATIONS

Scott Carey with the Nevada Division of State Lands stated that the staff was hoping to finalize a date for the next Council meeting. Scott stated that a set date would allow the staff to schedule a location for a meeting and bring forth agenda items for review and consideration by the Council. He suggested that the Council set a date for its next meeting within the late July or early August timeframe.

Lorinda Wichman suggested August 13, 2020 as the date for the next Council meeting. The consensus of the Council was that this date would work for its next meeting.

12) PUBLIC COMMENTS

Jake Tibbitts called for public comment. Scott Carey stated that the meeting notice included instructions for how to submit a public comment before the meeting and as of the deadline of May 27, 2020 at 5:00 PM staff did not receive any public comments. **Jake Tibbitts** called for any additional public comment. There was no public comment.

13) ADJOURN

Lorinda Wichman made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by **Roger Mancebo** and approved by the Council. The meeting was adjourned at 11:09 am.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott Carey
/s/
Meeting Recorder

The meeting was digitally recorded. Anyone wishing to receive or review the recording may call (775) 684-2723. The recording will be retained for three years.